

Oxford Democrat.

VOLUME 1.

PARIS, MAINE, TUESDAY, MAY 6, 1834.

NUMBER 38

OXFORD DEMOCRAT.
PUBLISHED EVERY TUESDAY BY
GEORGE W. MILLETT.
TERMS.—One dollar and twenty-five cents in ad-
vance.—Two dollars at the end of the year.
No paper discontinued till all dues are paid, but at the
option of the Publisher.

ADVERTISEMENTS inserted on the usual terms,
the proprietor not being accountable for any error in
any advertisement beyond the amount charged for it.
Communications, and LETTERS on business must be
addressed, Post-paid.

LAWS OF MAINE.

An act defining the time on which Public Stat-
utes shall take effect.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives, in Legislature assem-
bled, That all public Statutes shall be pub-
lished, in the paper designated to print the
laws of the State, within twenty days after the
close of the session at which they are passed.

Section 2. Be it further enacted, That all
Public Statutes shall begin to take effect, and
have force, in twenty days from the date of
their publication as above unless the provision
of any Statute otherwise order. Approved by
the Governor, Jan. 25, 1834.

An additional Act respecting salaries of Regis-
ters of Probate.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of
Representatives, in Legislature assembled,
That the salary of the Register of Probate for
the County of York, shall be Six Hundred dol-
lars instead of the salary now established by law
commencing on the first day of January one
thousand eight hundred and thirty four. Ap-
proved by the Governor, January, 9, 1834.

An Act additional to an act establishing the
salaries of certain officers.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives, in Legislature assem-
bled, That from and after the expiration of
the term of the present Chief Justice the sum
of sixteen hundred dollars shall be allowed
and paid to each of the Justices of the Su-
preme Judicial Court.

Section 2. Be it further enacted, That such
parts of the act, to which this is additional as
are inconsistent with this act, be and the same
are hereby repealed. Approved by the Gov-
ernor, February 1, 1834.

An Act to incorporate the Brunswick Com-
pany.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives in Legislature assem-
bled, That Isaac Lincoln, Joseph McKean,
Richard T. Dunlap, Abner B. Thompson, Ed-
mezer Everett, Nathaniel Davis, John C. Hun-
phreys, David Dunlap, Noah Hinkley, Eliah
P. Pike, Naarissa Stone, Robert P. Dunlap,
Thomas Pennell, John Dunming, and James
McKean, their associates and successors, be,
and they hereby are constituted a body politic
and corporate, by the name of the Brunswick
Company, for the purpose of manufacturing
cotton, wool, iron and steel, and such other
raw materials as may be necessary and con-
veniently connected therewith, in the town of
Brunswick. And said corporation may erect
such mills, dams, works, machines and build-
ings on their own land, as may be necessary and
useful in carrying on the business of said com-
pany, and for these purposes shall have all the
powers and privileges, and be subject to all the
duties and requirements contained in the sev-
eral acts of this State, defining the general pow-
ers and duties incident to manufacturing com-
panies, and not consistent with the provisions of
the second section of this act.

Section 2. Be it further enacted, That said
corporation may take any real or personal es-
tate to an amount not exceeding, at any one
time in the whole, the value of five hundred
thousand dollars. That the capital stock to be
invested by said corporation, shall be divided
into shares of three hundred and twenty-five
dollars each. That each proprietor shall be
entitled to as many votes as he may hold shares;
and that no assessment shall ever be made by
said corporation upon any share after the sum
of three hundred and twenty five dollars shall
have been actually paid by the proprietors
thereof, to the treasurer of said corporation.—
Approved Feb. 6, 1834.

An Act to repeal "An Act respecting the an-
chorage of vessels on the fishing grounds
near the Mananas and Monhegan Islands."

Be it enacted in the Senate and House of
Representatives in Legislature assembled, That
the act, entitled "An Act respecting the an-
chorage of vessels on the fishing grounds near the
Mananas and Monhegan Islands" passed on the
thirtieth day of March, one thousand eight hun-
dred and thirty one, be and the same is hereby
repealed. Approved by the Governor, February
8, 1834.

An Additional Act to increase the salary of the
Register of Probate in the county of Somer-
set.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of
Representatives in Legislature assembled, That
the salary of the Register of Probate in the
county of Somerset, shall be two hundred and

twenty five dollars instead of the salary now es-
tablished by law, commencing on the first day
of January one thousand eight hundred and
thirty four. Approved by the Governor, Feb-
ruary 17, 1834.

An Act in addition to "an act to exempt from
taxation Manufacturing Companies of Cotton,
Wool, Iron and steel, for limited times."

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives in Legislature assem-
bled, That the privileges and benefits of ex-
emption from assessment and taxation, allowed
by "an act to exempt from taxation Manufac-
turing Companies of cotton, wool, iron and steel
for limited times," made and passed February
seventh, in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and twenty five, be and hereby
are so far modified and extended in regard to
the Portland Manufacturing Company, as that
the term of three years exemption from taxation
shall be allowed said Company; said three
years commencing on the 1st day of August in
the year of our Lord one thousand eight hun-
dred and thirty three.

Section 2. Be it further enacted, That the
Capital Stock of said Portland Manufacturing
Company, may be, and hereby is reduced from
the sum of five hundred thousand dollars, to
the sum of two hundred and fifty thousand dol-
lars. Approved by the Governor, February
21, 1834.

An Act to incorporate the Mousum Manufac-
turing Company.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives in Legislature assem-
bled, That Johnathan Fiske, Jeremiah Brown
Moses Brown, Mordecai D. Lewis, Isiah
Hacker and David S. Brown, with their asso-
ciates and successors, be and hereby are cre-
ated a body politic and corporate, by the name
of the Mousum Manufacturing Company, for
the purpose of manufacturing iron and steel,
cotton and wollen goods in the town of Ken-
nebec, and for this purpose shall have all the
powers and privileges, and be subjected to all
the duties and requirements prescribed in the
several acts of the State defining the general
powers and duties of manufacturing companies.
And the said corporation are hereby authorized
and empowered to purchase and hold real and
personal estate to an amount not exceeding one
thousand dollars in the whole, at any one
time, and may otherwise the same at pleasure.

Section 2. Be it further enacted, That all
the corporation meetings shall be held within
the town of Kennebec, and that all the rec-
ords, books of accounts, and other papers, the
property of said corporation shall be kept in said
town of Kennebec. Approved February
22, 1834.

An Act to prevent the destruction of Pickerel
in Chandler's Mill Pond and Joel Bean's
Mill Pond.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives in Legislature assem-
bled, That if any person within four years
from the passing of this Act, shall take or de-
stroy any Pickerel in Chandler's Mill Pond, so
called, lying in Wintrop and Readfield, and
in Joel Bean's Mill Pond, lying in the town of
Readfield and Mount Vernon, in the County
of Kennebec, or any of the streams or waters
connecting those ponds, he shall forfeit and pay
a fine of one dollar for every Pickerel so taken
or destroyed; and all fines arising from the
violation of this Act, may be recovered by action
of debt, one moiety thereof to the use of said
town, in which the provisions of this Act shall
be violated, and the other moiety thereof to any
person who may sue for the same. Approved
February 25, 1834.

An additional act respecting the salaries of
Judges and Registers of Probate.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives in Legislature assem-
bled, That the salary of the Register of Probate
for the County of Washington shall hereafter
be Three Hundred and Fifty Dollars instead
of the salary now established by law. Ap-
proved by the Governor, Feb. 26, 1834.

An Act to incorporate Lewiston Falls Manu-
facturing Company.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives in Legislature assem-
bled, That John M. Frye, William R. Frye,
their associates and successors, be and they
hereby are constituted a body politic and corpo-
rate, by the name of the Lewiston Falls Manu-
facturing Company, for the purpose of manu-
facturing wool, cotton, wool, iron and steel, at
Lewiston Falls, in the town of Lewiston, and
said corporation may erect such mills, dams,
works, machines and buildings, and dig such
canals on their own lands as may be necessary
for carrying on these useful manufactures and
branches of trade—to have and to hold real and
personal estate to an amount not exceeding one
hundred thousand dollars in value, and to have
power to give, grant, sell and dispose of the
same—and shall have the powers and privi-
leges, and be subject to all the duties and re-
quirements expressed in the several acts of this
State, defining the general powers and duties

incident to manufacturing companies. Approv-
ed, Feb. 26, 1834.

An Act to prevent the destruction of Pickerel
in Thomas's Pond, Norton's and Camden
Pond, and Fletcher's Pond, in the county of
Waldo.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives in Legislature assem-
bled, That if any person within four years from
the passing of this Act, shall take or destroy
any Pickerel in Thomas's Pond, partly in
Searsmont, in the Norton and Camden Pond,
partly in Camden, and in the Fletcher Pond
in Lincolnville; or any of the streams empty-
ing into or issuing out of either of said Ponds,
or in any of the waters connected therewith, he
or they shall forfeit and pay a fine of one dol-
lar for every Pickerel so taken or destroyed.

Section 2. Be it further enacted, That if
any person or persons shall set eel pots or nets
in said pond or ponds, or any of the streams or
water connected therewith as aforesaid, for the
purpose of taking said fish, or obstructing their
passage in said waters, he or they shall forfeit
and pay the sum of three dollars for each and
every such offence.

Section 3. Be it further enacted, That it shall
be lawful for any person, who shall find any
of the obstructions, mentioned in the foregoing
sections, placed or erected in said ponds, or
their branches as aforesaid, to take up, pull
down, and destroy the same. And all pen-
alties and forfeitures arising by force and virtue
of this Act, shall be recovered by action of debt
in any court competent to try the same, one
moiety thereof to the use of the town where
such forfeitures shall incur, and the other moiety
thereof to any one who may sue therefor. Ap-
proved, Feb. 28, 1834.

An additional Act respecting the salary of Reg-
ister of Probate in the county of Waldo.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of
Representatives, in Legislature assembled,
That the salary of the Register of Probate for
the county of Waldo, shall be three hundred
dollars instead of the salary now established by
law, commencing on the first day of January
one thousand eight hundred and thirty four.—
Approved by the Governor, March 7, 1834.

An Act to prevent the destruction of Pickerel.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives in Legislature assem-
bled, That if any person within three years
from the passing of this act, shall take or de-
stroy any pickerel in the Fifteen Mile Pond,
or Sibley Pond or any of the streams enter-
ing into or issuing out of either of said ponds, in the
towns of Canaan, Pilsfield and Hartland in the
county of Somerset, he shall forfeit and pay a
fine of one dollar for every pickerel so taken
or destroyed.

Section 2. Be it further enacted, That if
any person shall set Eel pots, Fish pots or Nets,
or erect any Weirs in either of said ponds, or
any of the streams or waters aforesaid, for
the purpose of taking said Fish or obstructing
their passage in said waters, he shall forfeit and
pay a fine of two dollars for every such of-
fence.

Section 3. Be it further enacted, That it shall
be lawful for any person finding any of the ob-
structions aforesaid, placed or erected in either
of said ponds or any of the streams or waters
thereof, to take up, pull down or demolish the
same. And all fines arising from the violation
of this act may be recovered by action of debt,
one moiety thereof to the use of said towns,
and the other moiety thereof to the person who
may sue therefor. Approved March 10,
1834.

An Act enabling the owners of Meetinghouses to
manage the same.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives in Legislature assem-
bled, That the owners of any Meeting house,
not a parish, shall have power to incorporate
themselves for the purpose of repairing, en-
larging and removing the same, in like manner
as parishes may by law incorporate themselves,
and may choose like officers, raise and assess
taxes, and as a body politic for the sole pur-
poses aforesaid, do all things which a parish or
religious society may do, in exercise of the au-
thority in them by law vested. Provided nev-
ertheless—that the owners of any old and un-
occupied Meeting house for religious worship,
may sell the same if three fourths of the owners
thereof, shall so decide at any legal meeting of
said owners duly held for that purpose, and the
proceeds of any such sale, after deducting all
necessary expenses, shall be divided among the
several proprietors according to their respec-
tive interests in the same.

Section 2. Be it further enacted, That an
Act entitled "An Act enabling the owners of
Meetinghouses to manage the same," approved
March third, one thousand eight hundred and
twenty six, be and is hereby repealed. Ap-
proved March 11, 1834.

An Act to prevent obstructions to the naviga-
tion in Kennebec River.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of
Representatives in Legislature assembled, That
if any person or persons shall throw into the

Kennebec River, between the Kennebec Bridge
at Augusta, and the Village in Gardiner, any
chips, slabs, sawdust or any other rubbish,
whereby the navigation of said river shall be
impeded or injuriously affected; or if any per-
son or persons having a charge of, or being em-
ployed in any mill, factory, or any machinery
connected therewith, shall cause or suffer to be
deposited in said river any chips, slabs, saw-
dust or any other rubbish made and collected
by the operation of such mill, factory or ma-
chinery, to the injury of any citizen of this state
he or they shall forfeit and pay for each of-
fence, a sum not exceeding twenty dollars nor
less than five dollars, to any person who may
sue for the same; and shall also be liable to pay
all damages which any individual may suffer
by reason of such obstructions, in an action of
the case, in any court competent to try the
same. Approved March 11, 1834.

An Act to establish a Municipal Court in the
town of Bath.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives in Legislature assem-
bled, That there be and hereby is estab-
lished, a court to be denominated the Munic-
ipal Court for the town of Bath, in the County
of Lincoln, to consist of one Judge who shall take
cognizance of and exercise jurisdiction over all
such matters and things as Justices of the peace
for said County, may by law take cognizance
of, and exercise jurisdiction over, and under
like restrictions and limitations, and in like
manner as they may exercise the same; and
of the action of forcible entry and detainer in
like manner, with Justices of the Peace and
Quorum. And said Judge shall keep records of
his proceedings, and deliver copies thereof,
when thereto legally required, which being du-
ly certified, shall be evidence of such records
and proceedings in all other Courts.

Section 2. Be it further enacted, That no Jus-
tice of the Peace within said town of Bath,
shall exercise any civil or criminal jurisdiction,
except under authority of the United States,
in any civil or criminal process, wherein said
Judge is not a party or interested, and accept
or receive any fee therefor, under penalty of
twenty dollars for every offence, to the use
of said County, to be recovered by indictment in
any Court proper to try the same.

Section 3. Be it further enacted, That said
Court shall be held at such time and place, in
Bath, as said Judge shall direct: and said
town of Bath shall have power to raise money
for the purpose of furnishing and paying such
expenses of said Court as they may see fit.

Section 4. Be it further enacted, That said
Court shall also have cognizance of simple lar-
ceny, when the property alleged to be stolen,
shall not exceed twenty dollars in value, and
shall have power to try the same, and to sen-
tence and convict therefor, as in cases of such
larcenies of property under five dollars; and
it shall also be lawful for said Judge, at his
discretion, to commit to the house of correction or
jail of said County, on such terms as may be
agreed upon by said town of Bath, or the over-
seers of their house of correction, and the
County Commissioners for said County.

Section 5. Be it further enacted, That any
person aggrieved by any sentence awarded by
said Judge, may appeal therefrom in the same
manner as if said sentence were awarded by
a Justice of the Peace and under the same re-
cognition.

Section 6. Be it further enacted that said
Judge shall have power at his own expense, to
appoint a recorder of said Court during his
pleasure, who may make the records thereof,
and in case of the sickness or necessary ab-
sence of said Judge, shall have all the powers
of said Judge, except the trial of issues in civil
actions; and in case of the death of said Judge
such recorder shall have all the powers, be li-
able to all the duties, and entitled to the salary
of said Judge, until a Judge shall be commis-
sioned and qualified, and a copy of the appoint-
ment or removal of any such recorder shall be
entered on the records of said Court, before the
same shall have any validity.

Section 7. Be it further enacted, That said
Judge shall be appointed by the Governor, by
and with advice of Council, and shall receive
for his services the sum of two hundred and fifty
dollars per annum, to be paid quarterly at the
Treasury of said County: and said Judge
shall demand and receive for all processes be-
fore him, the same fees as are taxable by Jus-
tices of the Peace, and account therefor on oath
quarterly, to the Treasurer of said County, to-
wards his salary, and the balance, if any over
said salary, for any quarter, he shall pay into
said Treasury; and all fines and penalties aw-
arded by said Judge, shall be accounted for
and paid over, as if the same had been award-
ed by the sentence of any Justice of the Peace.
And said Judge shall not act as Counsellor nor
attorney in any case liable to come before said
Court.

Section 8. Be it further enacted, That this
Act shall not be in force and take effect, except
for the purpose of appointing said Judge, which
may be made forthwith, until the first day of
June. Approved March 12, 1834.

An Act providing for the use of broad rimmed
wheels in certain towns in the county of Po-

noscot.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and
House of Representatives, in Legislature assem-
bled, That every inhabitant of the towns
hereinafter named, in the county of Penobscot,
who shall before the first day of April one thou-
sand eight hundred and thirty-five, procure and
become the owner of a pair of iron bound ox-
cart or wagon wheels, with fellos not less than
six inches wide, or a double horse wagon, with
wheels with fellos not less than four inches
wide, shall, on exhibiting to the Treasurers of
the respective towns thereof where such owner
resides, satisfactory evidence thereof, be enti-
tled to receive out of the Treasury of said town
such a bounty as said towns at a legal meeting
of the inhabitants thereof may agree to allow
for said oxcart or wagon wheels. Provided,
That no more than one bounty shall be paid for
the same pair of wheels, nor to any person who
manufactured or altered them for the purpose
of sale and not for his own use.

Section 2. Be it further enacted, That after
the first day of April one thousand eight hun-
dred and thirty-six, no person who shall be an
inhabitant or residing within the towns of Le-
vant, Corinth, Charleston, Dover, Foxcroft,
Guilford, Atkinson, and Sebec, shall use on the
county road, commencing at Levant Village
and continuing through said towns of Corinth,
Charleston, Dover, Foxcroft, to Guilford Vill-
age, and also on the county road commencing
at the forks of the roads at Charleston, thence
continuing through said Charleston, Atkinson
and Sebec, in said county of Penobscot, any
oxcart, or wagon wheels of less width than spe-
cified in the first section of this act under a pe-
nalty of three dollars for each time he shall so
use a pair of wheels of less width than aforesaid,
and for each pair he may so use. But the pe-
nalty shall not be incurred by any person who
does not haul a load exceeding fifteen hundred
pounds in any oxcart or wagon.

Section 3. Be it further enacted, That it shall
be the duty of the Treasurer in each of said
towns, to pay the persons who are entitled there-
to, the bounties herein provided, to keep an ac-
curate account thereof, and to exhibit the same
to the town at its annual meeting in March or
April in each year.

Section 4. Be it further enacted, That the
said several towns be & they hereby are author-
ized to raise and assess upon the polls and es-
tates of the inhabitants thereof, and upon the
lands of non-resident proprietors therein res-
iding, sufficient money from time to time
as may be necessary for the purpose of paying
the bounties aforesaid.

Section 5. Be it further enacted, That it shall
be the duty of the person claiming the said
bounty, to make out and deliver to the Treas-
urer of whom such bounty may be demanded
a certificate, particularly describing the wheels
for which he may demand a bounty, stating a-
mong other things, where, when and by whom
they were made, and of whom he bought them;
and it shall be the duty of said Treasurer to
see that it is correct, so far as it may be in his
power, and he shall keep said certificate on the
files in his office.

Section 6. Be it further enacted, That if any
person shall knowingly make any false statements
in said certificate, or shall knowingly demand
and receive any bounty, or any part thereof, on
any pair of wheels on which a bounty had be-
fore been paid by any town Treasurer as afores-
aid, the person so receiving it or any part there-
of, shall forfeit and pay treble the amount of the
bounty, which, or any part of which was re-
ceived for the obtaining of which any such cer-
tificate containing such false statements was
made.

Section 7. Be it further enacted, That the
forfeitures & penalties herein provided, shall be
demanded, sued for and recovered by any one
of the surveyors of highways, selectmen or town
clerk, of the town in which any wheels may be
used, contrary to the provisions of this act.—
And all sums so received shall after deducting
the actual and necessary expenses of the pro-
secution, be paid over by the person so receiv-
ing and recovering the same to the treasurer of
the town in which he lives, of which said treas-
urer shall render a true account to the town at
its next annual meeting in March or April, and
which shall be applied by said town in the man-
ner it may prescribe for the repair of the
highways therein. Approved March 12, 1834.

IN SENATE.

THURSDAY, April 17, 1834.

Several messages were received from the
President of the United States, by Mr. DONL-
SON, his private Secretary; among them the
following

PROTEST;

To the Citizens of the United States!

It appears by the published journal of the Se-
nate, that on the 26th of December last, a res-
olution was offered by a member of the Senate,
which, after a protracted debate, was, on the
twenty eighth day of March last, modified by
the mover, and passed by the votes of twenty
six Senators out of forty-six,* who were present
and voted, in the following words, viz.

Resolved, That the President, in the late Ex-
ecutive proceedings in relation to the public
revenue, has assumed upon himself authority

and power not conferred by the constitution and laws, but in derogation of both."

Having had the honor, through the voluntary suffrages of the American People, to fill the office of President of the United States during the period which may be presumed to have been referred to in this resolution, it is sufficiently evident that the censure it inflicts was intended for myself. Without notice, unheard and untried, I thus find myself charged on the records of the Senate, and in a form hitherto unknown in our history, with the high crime of violating the laws and constitution of my country.

It can seldom be necessary for any Department of the Government, when assailed in conversation, or debate, or by the strictures of the press or of popular assemblies, to step out of ordinary path for the purpose of vindicating its conduct, or of pointing out any irregularity or injustice in the manner of the attack. But when the chief Executive Magistrate is, in his official capacity, in a public manner, and by its recorded sentence, but without precedent, competent authority, or just cause, declared guilty of a breach of the laws and constitution, it is due to his station, to public opinion, and to a proper self-respect, that the officer thus denounced should promptly expose the wrong which has been done.

In the present case, moreover, there is even a stronger necessity for such a vindication. By an express provision of the constitution, before the President of the United States can enter on the execution of his office, he is required to take an oath or affirmation in the following words:

"I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the office of President of the United States; and will, to the best of my ability, preserve, protect, and defend, the constitution of the United States."

The duty of defending, so far as in him lies, the integrity of the constitution, would indeed have resulted from the very nature of his office; but by thus expressing it in the oath of affirmation, which, in this respect, differs from that of every other functionary, the founders of our Republic have attested their sense of its importance, and have given to it a peculiar solemnity and force. Bound to the performance of this duty by the oath I have taken, by the strongest obligations of gratitude to the American People, and by the ties which unite my every earthly interest with the welfare and glory of my country; and perfectly convinced that the discussion and passage of the above mentioned resolution were not only unauthorized by the constitution, but in many respects repugnant to its provisions and subversive of the rights secured by it to other co-ordinate departments. I deem it an imperative duty to maintain the supremacy of that sacred instrument, and the immunities of the department intrusted to my care, by all means consistent with my own lawful powers, with the rights of others, and with the genius of our civil institutions. To this end, I have caused this, my solemn protest against the aforesaid proceedings, to be placed on the files of the Executive Department, and to be transmitted to the Senate.

It is alike due to the subject, the Senate, and the People, that the views which I have taken of the proceedings referred to, and which compel me to regard them in the light that has been mentioned, should be exhibited at length, and with the freedom and firmness which are required by an occasion so unprecedented and peculiar.

Under the constitution of the United States, the powers and functions of the various departments of the Federal Government, and their responsibilities for violation or neglect of duty, are clearly defined or result by necessary inference. The Legislative power, subject to the qualified negative of the President, is vested in the Congress of the United States, composed of the Senate and House of Representatives. The Executive power is vested exclusively in the President, except that in the very conclusion of treaties and in certain appointments to office, he is to act with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Judicial power is vested exclusively in the Supreme and other Courts of the United States, except in cases of impeachment, for which purpose the accusative power is vested in the House of Representatives, and that of hearing and determining, in the Senate. But although for the special purposes which have been mentioned, there is an occasional intermixture of the powers of the different departments, yet with these exceptions, each of the three great departments is independent of the others in its sphere of action; and when it deviates from that sphere, it is not responsible to the others, further than it is expressly made so in the constitution. In every other respect, each of them is the coequal of the other two, & all are the servants of the American People, without power or right to control or censure each other in the service of their common superior, save only in the manner and to the degree which that superior has prescribed.

The responsibilities of the President are numerous and weighty. He is liable to impeachment for high crimes and misdemeanors, and, on due conviction, to removal from office, and perpetual disqualification; and notwithstanding such conviction, he may also be in fact and punished according to law. He is also liable to the private action of any party who may have been injured by his illegal mandates

YEAS—Messrs. Bibb, Black, Calloun, Clay, Clayton, Ewing, Frelinghuysen, Knight, Leigh, Mangum, Naudain, Poindexter, Porter, Prentiss, Preston, Robbins, Sibley, Smith, Southard, Sprague, Swift, Tomlinson, Tyler, Waggaman, Webster—26.

NAYS—Messrs. Benton, Brown, Forsyth, Grundy, Hendricks, Hill, King, King, of Ala., King, of Ga., Linn, McKean, Moore, Morris, Robinson, Shopley, Tallmadge, Tipton, White, Wilkes, Wright—30.

or instructions, in the same manner and to the same extent as the humblest functionary. In addition to the responsibilities which may thus be enforced by impeachment, criminal prosecution, or suit at law, he is also accountable at the bar of public opinion, for every act of his administration. Subject only to the restraints of Truth and Justice, the free People of the United States have the undoubted right, as individuals or collectively, orally or in writing, at such times, and in such language and form as they may think proper, to discuss his official conduct, and to express and promulgate their opinions concerning it.

Indirectly, also, his conduct may come under review in either branch of the Legislature, or in the Senate when acting in its Executive capacity, and so far as the executive or legislative proceedings of these bodies may require it, it may be examined by them. These are believed to be the proper and only modes, in which the President of the United States is to be held accountable for his official conduct.

Tested by these principles, the resolution of the Senate is wholly unauthorized by the constitution, and in derogation of its entire spirit. It assumes that a single branch of the Legislative Department may, for the purpose of public censure, and without any view to legislation or impeachment, take up, consider, and decide upon the official acts of the Executive. But in no part of the constitution is the President subjected to any such responsibility; and in no part of that instrument is any such power conferred on either branch of the Legislature.

The justice of these conclusions will be illustrated and confirmed by a brief analysis of the powers of the Senate, and a comparison of their proceedings with those powers.

The high functions assigned by the constitution to the Senate, are in their nature either Legislative, Executive or Judicial. It is only in the exercise of its Judicial powers, when sitting as a Court for the Trial of Impeachments, that the Senate is expressly authorized and necessarily required to consider and decide upon the conduct of the President or any other public officer. Indirectly, however, as has already been suggested, it may frequently be called to perform that office. Cases may occur in the course of its Legislative or Executive proceedings, in which it may be indispensable to the proper exercise of its powers, that it should inquire into, and decide upon, the conduct of the President or other public officers; and in every such case, its constitutional right to do so is cheerfully conceded. But to authorize the Senate to enter on such a task in its Legislative or Executive capacity, the inquiry must actually grow out of, and tend to some Legislative or Executive action; and the decision when expressed, must take the form of some appropriate Legislative or Executive act.

The resolution in question was introduced, discussed, and passed, not as a joint, but as a separate resolution. It asserts no Legislative power; proposes no Legislative action; and neither possesses the form nor the attributes of a Legislative measure. It does not appear to have been entertained or passed, with any view or expectation of its issuing in a law or joint resolution, or in the repeal of any law or joint resolution, or in any other Legislative action.

Whilst wanting both the form and substance of a Legislative measure, it is equally manifest, that the resolution was not justified by any of the Executive powers conferred on the Senate. These powers relate exclusively to the consideration of treaties and nominations to office; and they are exercised in secret session, and with closed doors. This resolution does not apply to any treaty or nomination, and was passed in public session.

Nor does this proceeding in any way belong to that class of incidental resolutions which relate to the officers of the Senate, to their chamber, and other appurtenances, or to subjects of order, and all other matters of the like nature—in all which either House may lawfully proceed, without any co-operation with the other, or with the President.

On the contrary, the whole phraseology and sense of the resolution seem to be judicial—its essence, true character, and only practical effect, are to be found in the conduct which it charges upon the President, and in the judgment which it pronounces on that conduct. The resolution, therefore, though discussed and adopted by the Senate, in its Legislative capacity, is, in its office, and in all its characteristics, essentially judicial.

That the Senate possesses a high Judicial power, and that instances may occur in which the President of the United States will be amenable to it, is undeniable. But under the provisions of the constitution, it would seem to be equally plain that neither the President nor any other officer can be rightfully subjected to the operation of the judicial power of the Senate except in the cases under the forms prescribed by the constitution.

The constitution declares that "The President, Vice President, and all the civil officers of the United States, shall be removed from office on impeachment for, and conviction of, treason, bribery, or other high crimes and misdemeanors"—that the House of Representatives "shall have the sole power of impeachment"—that the Senate "shall have the sole power to try all impeachments"—that "when sitting for that purpose, they shall be on oath or affirmation"—that "when the President of the United States is tried, the Chief Justice shall preside"—that "no person shall be convicted without the concurrence of two thirds of the members present"—and that "judgment shall not extend further than to removal from office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any office of honor, trust, or profit, under the United States."

The resolution above quoted, charges in substance that in certain proceedings relating to the public revenue, the President has usurped authority and power not conferred upon him by the constitution and laws, and that in doing so he violated both. Any such act constitutes a high crime—one of the highest, indeed, which the President can commit—a crime which justly exposes him to impeachment by the House of Representatives, and upon due conviction, to a removal from office, and to the complete and immutable disfranchisement prescribed by the Constitution.

The resolution, then, was in substance an impeachment of the President; and in its passage, amounts to a declaration by a majority of the Senate, that he is guilty of an impeachable offence. As such, it is spread upon the journals of the Senate—published to the nation and to the world—made part of our enduring archives—and incorporated in the history of the age.

The punishment of removal from office and future disqualification, does not, it is true, follow this decision; nor would it have followed the like decision, if the regular forms of proceeding had been pursued, because the requisite number did not concur in the result. But the moral influence of solemn declaration, by a majority of the Senate, that the accused is guilty of the offence charged upon him, has been as effectually secured, as if the like declaration had been made upon an impeachment expressed in the same terms. Indeed, a greater practical effect has been gained, because the votes given for the resolution, though not sufficient to authorize a judgment of guilty on an impeachment, were numerous enough to carry that resolution.

That the resolution does not expressly allege that the assumption of power and authority, which it condemns, was intentional and corrupt, is no answer to the preceding view of its character and effect. The act thus condemned, necessarily implies volition and design in the individual to whom it is imputed, and being unlawful in its character, the legal conclusion is, that it was prompted by improper motives, and committed with unlawful intent. The charge is not of a mistake in the exercise of supposed powers, but of the assumption of powers not conferred by the constitution and laws, but in derogation of both, and nothing is suggested to excuse or palliate the turpitude of the act. In the absence of any excuse, or palliation, there is only room for one inference; and that is, that the intent was unlawful and corrupt. Besides, the resolution not only contains no mitigating suggestion, but on the contrary, it holds up the act complained of, as justly obnoxious to censure and reprobation; and thus as distinctly stamps it with imputations of motive, as if the strongest epithets had been used.

The President of the United States, therefore, has been, by a majority of his constitutional triers, accused and found guilty of an impeachable offence, but in no part of this proceeding have the directions of the constitution been observed.

The impeachment, instead of being preferred and prosecuted by the House of Representatives, originated in the Senate, and was prosecuted without the aid and concurrence of the other House. The oath or affirmation prescribed by the constitution, was not taken by the Senators; the Chief Justice did not preside; no notice of the charge was given to the accused; and no opportunity afforded him to respond to the accusation, to meet his accusers face to face, to cross examine the witnesses, to procure counteracting testimony, or to be heard in his defence. The safe guards and formalities which the constitution has connected with the power of impeachment, were doubtless snatched by the framers of that instrument, to be essential to the protection of the public service, to the attainment of justice, and to the order, impartiality, and dignity of the proceeding. These safe-guards and formalities were not only practically disregarded, in the commencement and conduct of these proceedings, but in their result, I find myself convicted by less than two thirds of the members present, of an impeachable offence.

In vain may it be alleged in defence of this proceeding, that the form of the resolution is not that of an impeachment, or of a judgment thereupon, the punishment prescribed in the constitution does not follow its adoption, or that in this case, no impeachment is to be expected from the House of Representatives.

It is because it did not assume the form of an impeachment, that it is the more palpably repugnant to the constitution; for it is through that form only that the President is judicially responsible to the Senate; and though neither removal from office nor future disqualification are, yet it is not to be presumed, that the framers of the constitution considered either or both of those results, as constituting the whole of the punishment they prescribed. The judgment of guilty by the highest tribunal in the Union; the stigma it would inflict on the offender; his family and fame; and the perpetual record on the journal, handing down to future generations the story of his disgrace, were doubtless regarded by them as the bitterest portions, if not the very essence of that punishment. So far, therefore, as some of the most material parts are concerned, the passage, recording, and promulgation of the resolution, are an attempt to bring them on the President, in a manner unauthorized by the constitution. To shield him and other officers who are liable to impeachment, from consequences so momentous; except when really merited by official delinquencies, the constitution has most carefully guarded the whole process of impeachment. A majority of the

House of Representatives, must think the officer guilty before he can be charged. Two thirds of the Senate must pronounce him guilty or he is deemed to be innocent. Forty six Senators appear by the journal to have been present when the vote on the resolution was taken. If, after all the solemnities of an impeachment, thirty of those Senators had voted that the President was guilty, yet would he have been acquitted; but by the mode of proceeding adopted in the present case, a lasting record of conviction has been entered up by the vote of twenty six Senators, without an impeachment or trial; whilst the constitution expressly declares that to the entry of such a judgment, an accusation by the House of Representatives, a trial by the Senate, and a concurrence of two-thirds in the vote of guilty, shall be indispensable prerequisites.

Whether or not an impeachment was to be expected from the House of Representatives, was a point on which the Senate had no constitutional right to speculate, and in respect to which, even had it possessed the spirit of prophecy, its anticipations would have furnished no just grounds for this proceeding. Admitting that there was reason to believe that a violation of the constitution and laws had been actually committed by the President, still it was the duty of the Senate, as his sole constitutional judges, to wait for an impeachment until the other House should think proper to prefer it. The members of the Senate could have no right to infer that no impeachment was intended. On the contrary, every legal and rational presumption was good reason to believe him guilty of an impeachable offence, the House of Representatives would perform its constitutional duty, by arraigning the offender before the justice of his country. The contrary presumption would involve an implication derogatory to the integrity and honor of the Representatives of the People. But suppose the suspicion thus implied were actually entertained, and for good cause, how can it justify the assumption by the Senate of powers not conferred by the constitution?

It is only necessary to look at the condition in which the Senate and the President have been placed by this proceeding, to perceive its utter incompatibility with the provisions and the spirit of the constitution, and with the plainest dictates of humanity and justice.

If the House of Representatives shall be of opinion that there is just ground for the censure pronounced upon the President, then it will be the solemn duty of the House to prefer the proper accusation, and to cause him to be brought to trial by the constitutional tribunal. A minority of its members have already considered the case, and have not only formed but expressed a deliberate judgment upon its merits. It is the policy of our benign systems of jurisprudence, to secure, in all criminal proceedings, and even in the most trivial litigations, a fair, unprejudiced, and impartial trial. And surely it cannot be less important that such a trial should be secured to the highest officer of the Government.

The constitution makes the House of Representatives the exclusive judges, in the first instance, of the question, whether the President has committed an impeachable offence. A majority of the Senate, whose interference with this preliminary question, has, for the best of all reasons, been studiously excluded, anticipate the action of the House of Representatives, assume not only the function which belongs exclusively to that body, but convert themselves into accusers, witnesses, counsel, and judges, the appalling spectacle, in a free state, of judges going through a labored preparation for an impartial hearing and decision, by a previous *ex parte* investigation and sentence against the supposed offender.

There is no more settled axiom in that government whence we derive the model of this part of our constitution than that "the Lords cannot impeach any to themselves, nor join in the accusation, because they are judges." Independently of the general reasons on which this rule is founded, its propriety and importance are greatly increased by the nature of the impeaching power. The power of arraigning the high officers of Government before a tribunal whose sentence may expel them from their seats and brand them as infamous, is eminently a popular remedy—a remedy designed to be employed for the protection of private right and public liberty, against the abuses of injustice and the encroachments of arbitrary power. But the framers of the constitution were also undoubtedly aware, that this formidable instrument had been, and might be abused: and that from its very nature, an impeachment for high crimes and misdemeanors, whatever might be its result, would in most cases be accompanied by so much of dishonor and reproach, solicitude and suffering, as to make the power of preferring it, one of the highest solemnity and importance. It was due to both these considerations, that the impeaching power should be lodged in the hands of those who, from the mode of their election and the tenure of their offices, would most accurately express the popular will, and at the same time be most directly and speedily amenable to the People. The theory of these wise and benignant intentions is, in the present case, effectually defeated by the proceedings of the Senate. The members of that body represent, not the People, but the States; and though they are undoubtedly responsible to the States, yet, from their extended service, the effect of that responsibility, during the whole period of that term, must very much depend upon their impressions of its obligatory force. When a body, thus constituted, expresses before the People, its opinion in a particular case, and thus indirectly invites a prosecution, it not only as-

sumes a power intended for wise reasons to be confined to others, but it shields the latter from that exclusive and personal responsibility under which it was intended to be exercised, and reverses the whole scheme of this part of the constitution.

Such would be some of the objections to this proceeding, even if it were admitted that there is just ground for imputing to the President the offences charged in the resolution. But if, on the other hand, the House of Representatives shall be of opinion that there is no reason for charging them upon him, and shall therefore deem it improper to prefer an impeachment, then will the violation of privileges as it respects that House, of justice as it regards the President, and of the constitution, as it relates to both, be only the more conspicuous and impressive.

The constitutional mode of procedure on an impeachment has not been wholly disregarded, but some of the first principles of natural right and enlightened jurisprudence have been violated in the very form of the resolution. It carefully abstains from averring in which of the late proceedings in relation to the public revenue, the President has assumed upon himself authority and power not conferred by the constitution and laws. It carefully abstains from specifying what laws or what parts of the constitution have been violated. Why was not the certainty of the offence—"the nature and cause of the accusation"—set out in the manner required in the constitution, before even the humblest individual, for the smallest crime, can be exposed for condemnation? Such a specification was due to the accused, that he might direct his defence to the real points of attack; to the People, that they might clearly understand in what particulars their institutions had been violated; and to the truth and certainty of our public annals. As the record now stands, whilst the resolution plainly charges upon the President at least one act of usurpation in "the late Executive proceedings in relation to the public revenue," and is so framed that those Senators who believed that one such act, and only one had been committed, could assent to it; its language is yet broad enough to include several such acts; and so it may have been regarded by some of those who voted for it. But though the accusation is thus comprehensive in the censures it implies, there is no such certainty of time, place, or circumstance, as to exhibit the particular conclusion of fact or law which induced any one Senator to vote for it. And it may well have happened, that whilst one Senator believed the same particular act embraced in the resolution was an arbitrary and unconstitutional assumption of power, others of the majority may have deemed that very act both constitutional and expedient, or if not expedient, yet still within the pale of the constitution. And thus a majority of the Senators may have been enabled to concur, in a vague and undefined accusation, that the President, in the course of "the late Executive proceedings in relation to the public revenue," had violated the constitution and laws; whilst, if a separate vote had been taken in respect to each particular act, included within the general terms, the accusers of the President might, on any such vote, have been found in the minority.

Still further to exemplify this feature of the proceeding, it is important to be remarked, that the resolution as offered to the Senate, specified, with adequate precision certain acts of the President which it denounced as a violation of the constitution and laws; and that it was not until the very close of the debate, and when, perhaps, it was apprehended that a majority might not sustain the specific accusation contained in it, that the resolution was so modified as to assume its present form. A more striking illustration of the soundness and necessity of the rules which forbid vague and indefinite generalities, and require a reasonable certainty in all judicial allegations; and a more glaring instance of the violation of those rules, has seldom been exhibited.

In this view of the resolution it must certainly be regarded, not as a vindication of any particular provision of the law or the constitution, but simply as an official rebuke or condemnatory sentence, too general and indefinite to be easily repelled, but yet sufficiently precise to bring into discredit the conduct and motives of the Executive. But whatever it may have been intended to accomplish, it is obvious that the vague, general, and abstract form of the resolution, is in perfect keeping with those other departures from first principles and settled improvement in jurisprudence, so proper the boast of free countries, in modern times. And it is not too much to say, of the whole of these proceedings, that if they shall be approved and sustained by an intelligent People, which had established in statutes, in bills of rights, in sacred charters, and in constitutions of Government, the right of every citizen, to a notice before trial, to a hearing before conviction, and to an impartial tribunal for deciding on the charge, have been waged in vain.

If the resolution had been left in its original form, it is not to be presumed that it could ever have received the assent of a majority of the Senate, for the acts therein specified as violations of the constitution and laws were clearly within the limits of Executive authority. They are the "dismissing of the late Secretary of the Treasury," because he would not contrary to "his sense of his own duty, remove the money of the U. States in deposit with the Bank of the United States and its branches, in conformity with the President's opinion; and appointing his successor to effect such removal, which has been done." But as no other specification has been substituted, and as these were the "Executive proceedings in relation to the public revenue," principally referred to in

for wise reasons (to be shields the latter from responsibility un- to be exercised, and one of this part of the

the objections to this e admitted that there g to the President the resolution. But if, on e of Representatives here is no reason for , and shall therefore for an impeachment, privileges as it respects it regards the Presi- tion, as it relates to pious and impres-

of procedure on an n wholly disregarded, iples of natural right e have been viola- resolution. It care- in which of "the to the public reven- sumed upon himself onferred by the con- that parts of the con- d. Why was not the nature and cause but in the manner re- before even the hum- nallest crime, can be n? Such a specifi- cation, that he might ed points of attack; t clearly understand nstitutions had been and certainty of our ord now stands, whilst rges upon the Presi- supposition in "the late relation to the public d that those Senators act, and only one assent to it; its lan- to include several have been regarded for it. But though rehensive in the cen- no such certainty of ees, as to exhibit the or law which indu- e for it. And it may hilst one Senator be- nect embraced in the and unconstitutional of the majority may t both constitutional ed, yet still with- n. And thus a ma- have been enabled, unction of "the late e relation to the pub- e constitution and e vote had been taken act, included with- cusers of the Presi- e, have been found

this feature of the to be remarked, that the Senate, specifi- certain acts of the ed as a violation of and that it was not debate, and when, ed that a majority e accusation con- on was so modified . A more striking s and necessity of s and indefinite ge- ousible certainty in a more glaring in- pose rules, has sel-

ion it must certain- icalation of any par- or the constitution, ke or condemnation- d indefinite to be iciently precise to eavor it may have t form of the res- with those other es and settled in- o proper the boast times. And it is hole of these pro- be approved and eople, which had of rights, in sac- ons of Govern- n, to a notice be- conviction, and eiding on the ain.

left in its original that it could ever a majority of the ecified as viola- aways were clearly uthority. They e Secretary of the d not contrary to e move the money with the Bank of nches, in confon- opinion; and ap- ct such removal, as no other spe- d, and as these eings in relation y referred to in

the course of the discussion, they will doubtless be generally regarded as the acts intended to be denounced as an "assumption of authority and power not conferred by the constitution or laws" but in derogation of both." It is therefore due to the occasion that a condensed summary of the views of the Executive in respect to them, should be here exhibited.

By the constitution, "the Executive power is vested in a President of the United States." Among the duties imposed upon him, and which he is sworn to perform, is that of "taking care that the laws be faithfully executed." Being thus made responsible for the entire action of the Executive department, it was but reasonable that the power of appointing, overseeing, and controlling those who execute the laws—a power in its nature executive—should remain in his hands. It is, therefore, not only his right, but the constitution makes it his duty, to "nominate, and by and with the advice and consent of the Senate appoint," all "officers of the U. States whose appointments are not in the constitution otherwise provided for," with a proviso that the appointment of inferior officers may be vested in the President alone, in the Courts of Justice, or in the Heads of Departments.

The Executive power vested in the Senate, is neither that of "nominating" nor "appointing." It is merely a check upon the Executive power of appointment. If individuals are proposed for appointment by the President, by them deemed incompetent or unworthy, they may withhold their consent, and the appointment cannot be made. They check the action of the Executive, but cannot in relation to those very subjects, act themselves, nor direct him. Selections are still made by the President, and the negative given to the Senate, without diminishing his responsibility, furnishes an additional guarantee to the country that the subordinate executive, as well as the judicial offices shall be filled with worthy and competent men.

The whole Executive power being vested in the President, who is responsible for its exercise, it is a necessary consequence, that he should have a right to employ agents of his own choice to aid him in the performance of his duties, and to discharge them when he is no longer willing to be responsible for their acts. In strict accordance with this principle the power of removal, which, like that of appointment, is an original Executive power, is left unchecked by the constitution in relation to all executive officers, for whose conduct the President is responsible, while it is taken from him in relation to judicial officers, for whose acts he is not responsible. In the Government from which many of the fundamental principles of our system is derived, the Head of the Executive Department originally had power to appoint and remove at will all officers, Executive and Judicial. It was to take the Judges out of this general power of removal, and thus make them independent of the Executive, that the tenure of their offices was changed to good behavior. Nor is it conceivable, why they are placed, in our constitution, upon a tenure different from that of other officers appointed by the Executive, unless it be for the same purpose.

But if there were any just ground for doubt on the face of the constitution, whether all executive officers are removable at the will of the President, it is obviated by the contemporaneous construction of the instrument, and the uniform practice under it.

The power of removal was a topic of solemn debate in the congress of 1789, while organizing the administrative departments of the Government, & it was finally decided, that the President derived from the constitution, the power of removal, so far as it regards that department for whose acts he is responsible. Although the debate covered the whole ground, embracing the treasury as well as all the other Executive Departments, it arose on a motion to strike out of the bill to establish a Department of State, a clause declaring the Secretary "to be removable from office by the President of the United States." After that motion had been decided in the negative, it was perceived that these words did not convey the sense of the House of Representatives, in relation to the true source of the power of removal. With the avowed object of preventing any future inference, that this power was exercised by the President in virtue of a grant from Congress, when in act that body considered it as derived from the constitution, the words which had been the subject of debate were struck out and in lieu thereof a clause was inserted in a provision concerning the Chief Clerk of the Department, which declared that "whenever the said principal officer shall be removed from office by the President of the United States, or in any other case of vacancy," the Chief Clerk should, during such vacancy, have charge of the papers of the office. This change having been made for the express purpose of declaring the sense of congress, that the President derived the power of removal from the constitution, the act as it passed, has always been considered as a full expression of the sense of the Legislature on this important part of the American Constitution.

Here then we have the concurrent authority of President Washington, of the Senate, and the House of Representatives, numbers of whom had taken an active part in the convention which framed the constitution, and in the State conventions, which adopted it, that the President derived an unqualified power of removal from that instrument itself, which is "beyond the reach of Legislative authority." Upon this principle the Government has now been steadily administered for about forty-five years, during which there have been numerous removals made by the President or by his direction, embracing every grade of Executive officers, from

the Heads of Departments to the messengers of Bureaus.

The Treasury Department, in the discussions of 1789, was considered on the same footing with the other Executive Departments, and in the act establishing it, the precise words were incorporated indicative of the sense of Congress, that the President derives his power to remove the Secretary, from the constitution, which appear in the act establishing the Department of Foreign Affairs. An assistant Secretary of the Treasury was created, and it was provided that he should take charge of the books and papers of the Department, "whenever the Secretary shall be removed from office by the President of the United States." The Secretary of the Treasury being appointed by the President, and being considered as constitutionally removable by him, it appears never to have occurred to any one in the Congress of 1789, or since, until very recently, that he was other than an Executive officer, the mere instrument of the Chief Magistrate in the execution of the laws, subject, like all other Heads of Departments, to his supervision and control. No such idea as an officer of the Congress can be found in the constitution, or appears to have suggested itself to those who organized the Government. There are officers of each House, the appointment of which is authorized by the constitution, but all officers referred to in that instrument, as coming within the appointing power of the President, whether established thereby or created by law, are "Officers of the United States." No joint power of appointment is given to the two Houses of Congress, nor is there any accountability to them as one body: but as soon as any office is created by law, of whatever name or character, the appointment of the person or persons to fill it, devolves by the constitution upon the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate, unless it be an inferior office, and the appointment be vested by the law itself "in the President alone, in the courts of law, or in the Heads of Departments."

But at the time of the organization of the Treasury Department, an incident occurred which distinctly evinces the unanimous concurrence of the first Congress in the principle that the Treasury Department is wholly Executive in its character and responsibilities. A motion was made to strike out the provisions of the bill making it the duty of the Secretary "to digest and report plans for the improvement and management of the revenue, and for the support of public credit," on the ground that it would give the Executive Department of the Government too much influence and power in Congress. The motion was not opposed on the ground that the Secretary was the officer of Congress and responsible to that body, which would have been conclusive, if admitted, but on other grounds which conceded his Executive character throughout. The whole discussion evinces an unanimous concurrence in the principle, that the Secretary of the Treasury is wholly an Executive officer, and the struggle of the minority was to restrict his power as such. From that time down to the present, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Treasurer, Register, Comptrollers, Auditors, and Clerks, who fill the offices of that Department, have, in the practice of the Government, been considered and treated as on the same footing with corresponding grades of officers in all the other Executive Departments.

(To be Concluded in our next.)

OXFORD DEMOCRAT.

PARIS, MAY 6, 1834.

Our subscriber having purchased the interest of OCTAVIUS KING in this paper, it will hereafter be conducted by him as sole proprietor. While on his part he promises an anxious endeavor to make the paper deserving of the support and encouragement of the people of this country, he trusts that his exertions will be aided by the public, and more especially by the Democratic party for the maintenance of whose principles it was established. The character and political principles of the paper will remain unchanged.

All persons indebted for Advertising or for the paper are informed that payment is to be made to the subscriber to whom the accounts have been transmitted.

GEORGE W. MILLETT.

Paris, May 6, 1834.

We have devoted so large a portion of our paper to the President's Protest, and to the remainder of the laws of the last Session of the Legislature that we have little room left for any thing else. The remainder of the protest will be given in our next. We ask the attention of our readers to this document, which is well worthy of an attentive perusal. The opposition at first censured it as an appeal to the people, but fearful of the influence it might have with them they have selected detached passages, and then denounced the conclusions which they themselves had drawn from them. By falsehood and misrepresentation they have sought to render this protest odious to the people. If it taught the doctrine the opposition attribute to it, it would be repudiated by us and by the democratic party. We ask all to read and judge for themselves and we no fears as to the conclusion which any honest and unprejudiced mind will draw from it. Were it indeed what the opposition represent it, they would be most anxious to lay it before the people, whereas in truth, most of their papers conceal it from their readers.

These federalists appear to be much delighted with the new name which they have assumed, but the change must be a much deeper one which deceives the people as to their principles and purposes. It is not the name of federalist merely which the people have rejected, but it is the principles of the party to which it belongs, and while these remain unchanged no assumption of a name will restore that party to public favor. They are not democrats, and it matters not what title they may assume to distinguish them from the friends of democracy. Not content however with choosing a new name for themselves, they are anxious to bestow one of their cast off ones upon us. We ask no such favor at their hands,

We are not ashamed of the name of democrats and trust that we shall never be guilty of such conduct as will disgrace it. It is amusing to hear Daniel Webster called a whig and Andrew Jackson a Tory. If this appellation is just then Madison and Jefferson must be called Tories, for no one will pretend that they belong to the same party with Mr. Webster.

FOR THE DEMOCRAT.

The stang whanging Editors tell as a truth That all true Jacksonians wear collars, forsooth. Come tell us, ye yelpers and answer us soon Are your collars inscribed with Clay or Calhoun? Or have the facilities played you a prank And collared your necks with a badge of the Bank? Go, hide your false faces, nor let it be told That you've barter'd your conscience for silver and gold. Go, purchase a mirror where you may view Your slander on others, reflected on you. A collar of hemp, for the traitor and knave, A collar of shame, for the Bank purchased slave, Now speak to the people and tell if you dare The disgrace you've earned by the collars you wear.

From the Augustu Age.

Washington, April 23, 1834.

Excitement is the order of the day. On Thursday last the President addressed a message to the Senate protesting against the conduct of that body in undertaking to assume the power of a violation of the Constitution. As this paper has been published and will be in the hands of every one, it is needless to give a summary of it. The opposition pretend to think that it will not be approved of by the people; but it may be doubted whether this is their real belief. If it were, they would hardly seem so exasperated by it as they are. If the truth could be known, we should find that they are fearful of the effect of this document upon the people. They recollect how triumphantly the President has been heretofore sustained, and they anticipate that this paper will find the same favor with the people which has been bestowed upon all others emanating from the same source.

This message has put aside all other business in the Senate and an effort was made to provoke a discussion upon it in the House of Representatives, but it was promptly met and put down. The House was engaged on Thursday of last week in the consideration of the general appropriation bill. Friday and Saturday as usual were devoted to the consideration of private bills. On Monday, which is the day for the presentation of memorials, a proposition for a new Bank, founded on a memorial from Gardiner in your State and offered by Mr. Evans, was considered and laid upon the table by a large majority. Those who have affected to believe that there was a majority of the House in favor of a Bank though opposed to the present Bank of the United States, must now be convinced that their calculation was erroneous. On Tuesday the general appropriation bill was again considered in committee of the whole, and the House did not adjourn until a protracted session of nearly nine hours. It was hoped that the opposition had grown weary of interposing obstacles in the way of the usual and necessary business of the session, and that by sitting until a late hour to-day and to-morrow, the bill might pass the committee and be brought into the House. But the hope was entirely delusive. This morning Mr. John Q. Adams objected to the manner in which the proceedings of yesterday were recited in the House and moved two amendments. A debate of nearly six hours was the consequence, which was finally terminated by laying both amendments on the table. The journal will therefore remain as it was when read to the House; but the opposition have gained their object of wasting another day in an idle, useless fruitless debate.

Yesterday in the course of a debate in the early part of the session upon the subject of appointing a committee to investigate the concerns of the banks in the District of Columbia, Mr. Harding undertook to call in question the solvency of the Bank of the Metropolis in this city, which is the Bank in which the public moneys are deposited. A more wanton charge could not be made, as it is evident from the following statement which is extracted from the weekly return of that Bank to the Secretary of the Treasury, dated April 21st. The notes in circulation on that day were \$88,047. The specie in the vaults of the Bank amounted to \$172,870—being nearly two dollars in specie for every dollar of notes in circulation. But misrepresentation is the constant source of the opposition, and it is too manifest to be denied, that they will stick at nothing in order to carry their points.

From the Saco Democrat.

The Baltimore Republican state that Messrs Webster and Binney arrived in that city on Sunday week, and addressed the populace in the public streets, in favor of the United States Bank! Mr. Binney, it is said, was very violent in his remarks. He complained of certain measures, which he said "must be put down, peacefully if we can, forcibly if we must"—and if this could not be done by lawful means, it must be done by means of the sword!—A proper business this for the Attorneys of the Bank on the Sabbath day!—No wonder that they lay claim to all the propriety in the land. Mr. Webster attempted to apologise for the part he took in this prostitution of the Sabbath to political purposes, by telling the Senate that he was advised to it by a member of the Presbyterian church, who thought there was no harm in it! Who can wonder that there should be mobs and riots, when honorable Senators and Representatives, so far forget themselves, and the dignity of their stations, as to violate a day set apart for religious worship and instruction, in making violent and inflammatory harangues to the passions and prejudices of the people, for the purpose of enlisting them on the side of the Bank, and in opposition to Jackson and his ad-

ministration? It is nothing new or strange that such a course should be pursued on the floor of Congress—for it has been going on there some months. Yet we are inclined to believe that it is not on the whole a bad move. It may save the Senate from a repetition of some of the disgraceful scenes which have of late been so frequently witnessed in that body.

Mr. Preston, the South Carolina Nullifier, addressed his fellow laborers, the Wigs of Baltimore, on Saturday. He advised them to imitate the example of South Carolina, and of course to adopt its mad, and treasonable policy. And it would seem that they intend to follow his advice, for, after hearing the additional course of Messrs. Webster and Binney on the ensuing Sunday, we find in the Baltimore papers of Monday, a notice commencing as follows:—

"BALTIMORE WHIGS.—The members of the Military Association to be called the 'Baltimore Whigs,' are requested to meet," &c. So they go—and what we shall have next time only will disclose. If the Wigs only get so as to take up arms, we shall have a terrible time.

READ THE PROTEST.

Citizens of America, read the defence of the President against the high charges preferred against him, and then judge whether he be innocent or guilty; read it, and then say if you are willing to sustain the Senate in assuming all the powers of government—in trampling upon the rights of your Representatives, and of your President. Well may the Senate and its partisans assail the Representative branch of the Government, for by it their venality will be exposed. The investigations of the Bank Committee will exhibit to the world the glaring corruptions of this great sink of iniquity—they will reach the Senate, and unless their labors are stopped by civil commotion, the country will know who has been the knave and who the honest man. Rather than this should take place, the Nullifying Whigs would prefer civil war and a separation of the States, for they know that the Bank investigation will ruin them if suffered to go on, and that a dissolution of the Union may save their reputations and place the three leaders of the opposition at the head of the three different sections of the country. To affect this, they are urging the Senate on to such injustice, intolerance, and violation of law and the rights of the other branches of the government as will lead, they hope, to an open rupture—when this is accomplished, then the sound for disunion will be heard, and our happy country fall a victim to the machinations of a triumvirate of disappointed politicians, unless the people be aroused to a sense of their danger, in season to save themselves and their institutions from destruction.—[Boston Post.

Gen. Todd has dissolved his connection with the Eastern Argus, and transferred his interest in the same to Mr. Charles Holden. We deeply regret the loss of Gen. T. from the editorial ranks, for he has long been a worthy and faithful laborer in the service of the people. But in Mr. Holden the cause of democracy will have an able advocate. We cheerfully welcome him to the editorial ranks, and bid him God-speed in his new vocation.

[Saco Dem.]

A Per severing Dun. "I have a story to tell you of Lord Bath. He owed a tradesman eight hundred pounds, and would never pay him; the man determined to persecute him till he did, and one morning followed him to Lord Winchelsea's, sent up word that he wanted to speak with him. Lord Bath came down and said, 'Follow what do you want with me?' 'My money,' said the man bawling as loud as he could, before all the servants. He bade him call next morning—and then would not see him. The next Sunday the man followed him to church, and got into the next pew; he leaned over and said, 'my money, give me my money.' My Lord went to the end of the pew, the man too—'Give me my money.' The sermon was on avarice, and the text, 'curse are they that heap up riches.' The man groaned out 'O Lord' and pointed to my Lord Bath. In short he persisted so much, and drew the eyes of all the congregation, that my Lord Bath went out and paid him directly. I assure you this is a fact." [Walpole's Correspondence.

Sale of Real Estate. A valuable lot of land containing nearly 6000 feet with the buildings &c. thereon, situated on Mount Vernon and Walnut streets, belonging to the estate of the late John Callender, Esq. sold on Saturday for \$15,000.

The house and land adjoining, belonging to the same estate, consisting of a modern built, four story brick house, and 2600 feet of land sold for \$10,800.

A sale was made on Friday of the only remaining lot on Beacon street, to one of our highly respectable fellow citizens, measuring 30 feet on said street, and containing about 4-600 superficial square feet for the sum of \$23,500.—Cent.

The loss by the late fire at Bangor is said not to be so great as was at first anticipated. It is estimated not to exceed \$15,000, one third of which was insured. The loss of Messrs. French, the owners of the Exchange Hotel was about \$2,500—of Col. Hayes, the landlord, by injury done to furniture in its removal, about \$10 or 1200.

[Saco Dem.]

The accounts from Virginia are cheering. The Richmond Enquirer of the 22d instant, remarks—"The friends of the administration

have lost but 2 votes—Caroline by a mismanagement, and Kanawha—and they gained 11 votes (counting Northway,) in the Legislature—making 18 clear votes in 46 counties." The Senate of Virginia consists of 32 members, chosen for five years—the seats of one fourth vacated annually. The House of Delegates consists of 134, chosen every year.

The Mill Dam Corporation sold by auction on Saturday, several yokes of working oxen, at prices from \$69.50 to \$4.00. Milch cows were sold at the same time at proportionably high prices. Terms 6 mo. and interest.—Does this look like distress and depreciation in prices? We think Farmers will have but one opinion on the subject.—[Bangor Republican.

Triek of a Painter. A capital story is told of Basici, an Italian Artist. He had painted the portrait of a young sprig of nobility, without any previous agreement as to price—and after it was finished, his customer upon learning his terms took himself away, and neither returned nor sent for the portrait. Whereupon the knight of the escel, painted a grate over the portrait, and wrote beneath it, "Imprisoned for debt." An uncle of the young man paid for the painting to liberate his nephew's face from imprisonment.—[N. E. Galaxy.

MARRIED.

In this town, by Josiah Dudley, Esq. Mr. Daniel Perkins, of Woodstock, to Miss Druzilla Fuller, of this town. In Boston, Tristram Durrell to Susanna Adams.

DIED.

In this town, on Saturday last, a child of Mr. Isaac Harlow. In Winthrop, Mr. John Wadsworth, a revolutionary pensioner, aged 71; Mr. David Wadsworth, aged 25.

Wanted Immediately.

A S an apprentice to the printing business, a boy of a good steady habits from 15 to 18 years of age, to whom good encouragement will be given. Inquire at this Office. Paris, May 6, 1834.

Notice!

I hereby relinquish to my son SAMUEL BAYLEY, his time, he being nineteen years of age, to trade for himself, and I shall not pay any debts of his contracting, nor claim any of his earnings after this date.

HUDSON BAYLEY.

Hamlin's Gero, April 29, 1834.

Prints, New Style.

WATERSON, PRAY & CO. Nos. 71 & 73, Killy-st., Boston. OFFER for sale by the package or piece, an extensive assortment of Printed Calicoes—comprising more than one hundred and thirty styles—many of which are new and beautiful.—Also an assortment of colored Cambrics, likewise, Printed Quiltings and Britannia Hanks by the case. Printers of Newspapers in the New England States, who insert the above, with this notice, once a week, for six weeks, inside, shall be paid on presentation of their bills. March 17.

Copartnership Notice.

THE subscribers have formed connection in business under the firm of SMITH & BENNETT and have taken the Store near the Mills formerly occupied by J. B. Smith, where they have for sale on the most reasonable terms for cash, country produce, or credit, a good assortment of W. I. Goods and Groceries, English and American Goods, Hardware, Crockery and Glassware, School Books and Stationery, Paints, Medicines, &c. &c. making in all a very extensive variety of reasonable goods. Former customers of the subscribers are respectfully invited to call. JONATHAN B. SMITH, ANTHONY BENNETT, Norway-Village, Nov. 16, 1833.

SMITH & BENNETT will carry on the Potash here before improved by A. Bennett, and wish to receive asks in exchange for Goods at their cash prices. Norway-Village, Nov. 22, 1833.

Wrapping Paper.

SMITH & BENNETT have received on consignment a lot of good wrapping paper at 62 1/2 cts. per ream. Norway-Village, March, 1834.

Apprentice Wanted.

A young man from 14 to 17 years of age as an Apprentice to the Gun Smith business is wanted immediately; one that can come well recommended, and is willing to be a boy till twenty-one, will meet with good encouragement; none other need apply. JOTHAM GOODNOW, Norway, March 20, 1834.

For Sale,

ONE Single CARDING MACHINE, made by the subscriber and warranted equal to any in use. Terms liberal with good security. Also—To let a SHOP with WATER POWER sufficient to carry a Carding Machine, or to answer the purpose of a Millwright, Wheel Wright, Cabinet Maker, or other such machinery. Enquire of ZEBEDEE FERRY, Norway, March 12, 1834.

NOTICE.

D. R. JOB HOLMES has left his notes for collection at the office of S. EMERY, where they may be settled without cost to the promissors, prior to the first day of May. Paris, April 7, 1834.

For Sale,

ONE yoke of good OXEN, six years old this Spring. Enquire of GEORGE KING. South-Paris, April 7, 1834.

JOB WORK, Executed with neatness and despatch at this OFFICE.

An Act providing for the use of broad rimmed wheels within the towns of Baileyville, Princeton and the Indian Township upon the Houlton and Baring Road.

Sec. 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in Legislature assembled, That from and after the first day of May next, no person shall be authorized or permitted to haul any load, on the Baring and Houlton road, so called, within either the towns of Baileyville or Princeton, nor within the Indian township, so called, upon any ox cart or ox wagon, drawn by more than one yoke of oxen, unless the fellows of the wheels thereof are at least six inches wide; nor upon any horse cart or horse wagon, drawn by more than two horses, unless the fellows of the wheels thereof are at least four inches wide.

Sec. 2. Be it further enacted, That if any person shall violate the provisions of this act, he and all other person or persons who shall be directly or indirectly aiding, advising or directing in the violation thereof, shall forfeit and pay Five Dollars for each time he or they shall haul any load in either of said towns or township contrary to the provisions of this act, which forfeitures may be sued for and recovered by any of the Selectmen, Assessors, or town clerk of the town within which such penalty may have been incurred before any Justice of the Peace or court competent to try the same, one half of said penalty to the use of the person suing therefor, the other half to the use of the town within which the forfeiture was incurred. Approved by the Governor, March 12, 1834.

Interesting to the Blind.

STATE OF MAINE.
SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE.
Augusta, April 15, 1834.

THE accompanying Resolve appropriating One Thousand Dollars for the support of the New England Institution in Boston, in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, of such Indigent Blind persons in this State, as may appear proper subjects for education at said institution is published for the information of all interested in the welfare and happiness of that unfortunate class of our fellow beings.

The following are the
RULES AND REGULATIONS.
for the admission of Beneficiaries into the New England Institution for the Education of the Blind.

Candidates for admission must be over six and under twenty-four years of age.

They must produce certificates of incurable blindness, from some respectable physician of regular standing, also their freedom from any epileptic or contagious disorder, or from any physical affliction that would render them unfit inmates with others.

Beneficiaries must produce a certificate from the Selectmen or overseers of the poor of their town, stating that their parents and immediate relatives are unable to defray the expenses of their education.

They must produce a certificate of good moral character from the selectmen or clergyman of their town.

They must be provided with at least six good cotton shirts, two vests, jackets and pantaloons; six pairs of socks or stockings; two pairs of boots and shoes; six pocket handkerchiefs, and two black stocks; all to be in good condition, and the woollens of dark color.

The females must be provided with at least the same quantity of linen; and with three gowns and dresses. The clothing must be renewed from time to time, as may be necessary by the parents; anything more than common mending will not be done at the expense of the institution.

Each pupil must be provided with a wooden chest, with a lock and key; and of sufficient size to contain all their clothing.

All the articles of clothing must be marked with the name of the owner—at full length.

The friends of Persons desirous of availing themselves of the Bounty of the State, are requested to make written application therefor, to the Subscriber, (at his Office,) on or before the 12th of June next,—stating their Age, Sex, Occupation, Abilities, Constitution, Character, How and when they became Blind, and their situation, and that of their near relatives in regard to property.

By order of the Governor and Council.
ROSCOE G. GREENE, Sec'y of State.

STATE OF MAINE.

Resolves for the relief of the Indigent Blind.
Resolved, That the sum of One Thousand Dollars be and is hereby appropriated for the education of indigent Blind, in the State of Maine, to be expended by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Council, at their discretion, in defraying in whole or part, upon application, the expense of placing in the New England Institution in Boston such indigent blind persons, as may appear proper subjects for education at such Institution.

In the House of Representatives, March 11, 1834. Read and passed.

NATHAN CLIFFORD, Speaker.

In Senate, March 11, 1834. Read and passed.

JOSEPH WILLIAMSON, Pres.

March 11, 1834. Approved.

ROBERT P. DUNLAP.

Notice!

THE Subscriber having contracted with the town of Rumford to support Hurry Colby, a town pauper, for the current year, hereby forbids all persons harboring or trusting her, on his account, or of said town, as no debts of her contracting will be paid by either the subscriber or the town aforesaid.

MERRILL FARNUM.
Rumford, April 25, 1834.

STATE OF MAINE.

Resolve for establishing an Insane Hospital.

Resolved, That there be allowed and granted for the purpose of establishing an Insane Hospital in this State, the sum of Twenty Thousand Dollars, to be derived from the proceeds of the sales of the Public Lands, and to be paid out of the Treasury of the State whenever said sum shall be realized and received from said source—said sum to be expended in erecting a suitably building or buildings for an Insane Hospital, in manner hereinafter provided and described, on condition that a like sum of Twenty Thousand Dollars be raised by individual donations, towards erecting and maintaining the same, within twelve months from the passage of an act in reference thereto.

Resolved, That whenever, the foregoing condition shall have been complied with, the Governor with advice of Council be and he hereby is authorized and empowered to purchase a lot of land within the State and procure a good and sufficient title and conveyance thereof to the State, which shall be an eligible site for an Insane Hospital, regard being had in the selection of such site, to the cheapness of labor and materials for the construction of said Hospital, and also to the amount of donations which may be contributed by individuals towards the erecting and establishing the same.

Resolved, That whenever a site shall have been provided as aforesaid, the Governor with advice and consent of the Council shall appoint a board of three Commissioners who shall cause to be erected on said site a Hospital and other buildings suitable for the accommodation of a Superintendant and of one hundred lunatic persons furiously mad, agreeably to a plan of the most recent approved models for such an Institution. And said Commissioners shall have power to make all necessary contracts and to appoint agents to superintend the erection of the same, and who shall report to the Governor and Council a system for the discipline and government of said establishment, as soon as the same shall be completed. And said Commissioners shall lay before the Governor and Council their accounts of expenditures and disbursements for the purpose of their being examined, audited and allowed as in their discretion they may deem just and proper.

Resolved, That the Treasurer of this State be authorized to receive any donations either in money, securities, or any real or other personal estate from any person or persons, which shall be appropriated exclusively to the object aforesaid. And that it shall be the duty of said Treasurer to keep a distinct and separate account thereof to be appropriated as aforesaid under the order and direction of the Governor and Council.

In the House of Representatives, March 8, 1834. Read and passed.

NATHAN CLIFFORD, Speaker.

In Senate, March 8, 1834. Read and passed.

JOSEPH WILLIAMSON, President.

March 8, 1834. Approved.

ROBERT P. DUNLAP.

A true copy. Attest:

ROSCOE G. GREENE, Sec'y of State.

COLLECTORS NOTICE, Peru.

IT is hereby notified to the Proprietors of the Lands hereafter mentioned, in the Town of Peru, that the same are taxed in the Bills committed for collection to the undersigned Collector of said Peru, for the years of our Lord 1832 1833, in the respective sums following, viz:

Names of Proprietors.	No. of Lots.	Range.	No. of Acres.	Value.	State Tax.	County Tax.	Highway Tax.	Deficiency of Highway Tax 1831.
Thompsons Grant	6	5	100	75	59			
Unknown,	4	11	100	25	30			
Unknown,	7	12	100	25	20			
"	7	13	100	25	20			
"	1	14	100	5	4			
"	4	14	100	5	4			
Peck's Grant north half of	13	50	10	8				
1832.								
Unknown Thompson Grant,	6	5	100	75	59			
Unknown,	4	11	100	25	30			
Unknown,	7	12	100	25	20			
"	7	13	100	25	20			
"	1	14	100	5	4			
"	4	14	100	5	4			
S. F. Brown	5	10	100	37	37			
"	6	10	100	37	37			
"	6	11	100	37	37			
"	10	10	100	37	37			
"	6	11	100	37	37			
"	7	11	100	37	37			
"	4	12	100	37	37			
Unknown	9	10	100	37	37			
John Conant	19	10	100	50	50			
Unknown	4	11	100	25	25			
"	7	12	100	25	25			
"	1	13	75	25	25			
"	2	13	100	20	4			
"	4	14	100	5	5			
Porter	5	14	100	5	5			
Unknown								
SE. End	7	7	50	30	20			
"	16	7	100	75				
"	5	12	8	141	151			
"	5	12						
"	8	13						
"	4	13						

The said collector will proceed according to law to sell at public auction to the highest bidder at the Inn of William Walker in said Peru, at ten of the clock in the forenoon on Tuesday the day 22d of July next, so much of the said lands as shall be sufficient to discharge said taxes and the necessary intervening charges if no person shall appear on or before that time to discharge said taxes and charges. Dated at Peru, the 8th day of April, 1834.

JEFFERSON HALL, Collector of Peru.

STATE OF MAINE.

TREASURER'S OFFICE.

Augusta, April 7, 1834.

WHEREAS an Act entitled "An Act respecting the collection of taxes on unimproved land, not taxable by the Assessors of any town or plantation," passed the twenty ninth day of January, A. D. 1832, provides, that whenever a State Tax has been, or shall be lawfully assessed on any township or other tract of unimproved land in this State, not taxable by the Assessors of any town or plantation, the Treasurer of the State shall forthwith advertise the same three weeks successively, in the public newspaper of the printer to the State for the time being, and in one of the newspapers printed in the county where such land lies, if any there be, otherwise in a newspaper in the next adjoining county, if such newspaper there be; therein notifying all persons thus interested in such lands, that unless the amount of said taxes respectively, be paid to the Treasurer aforesaid in six months then next, Warrants will issue from said Treasurer to the Sheriff of the county where such land lies, requiring him to collect all such taxes as may then remain due.

And whereas, An Act entitled "An Act to appropriate and assess on the inhabitants of this State a tax of fifty thousand four hundred and ten dollars, 88 cents," passed the eleventh day of March, A. D. 1834, provides, that the Townships and other tracts of unimproved land, included and described in the following Schedule, shall be assessed and pay the several sums with which they respectively stand charged.

Therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of said Acts, the proprietors, and all other persons interested in the townships and other tracts of unimproved land, described in said Schedule, are hereby notified, that unless the taxes for the year 1834, assessed as therein charged, are paid into the Treasury of this State within six months next, Warrants will issue according to the provisions of law.

SCHEDULE.

COUNTY OF OXFORD.

Howard's Gore	8 27
No. 5, 2d Range	2 19
Township D. to J. Gardiner	1 44
Township E. to J. Cummings	1 80
No. 1 letter A.	5 94
do 2 do do	5 99
do 2 1st Range	1 55
do 3 1st do	3 09
do 4 3d do	1 84
do 5 3d do	2 00
do 2 2d do	2 94
do 4 4th do	2 42
do 2 2d do	2 82
do 3 3d do	1 84
Letter B.	6 72
Letter C. adjoining B.	1 84
No. 5, 4th Range	2 46
Township No. 7	2 09
Andover Surplus, West do North	2 46
Hamlin's Grant	1 68
Township No. 1	2 39
Chandler's Gore	14 67
Five sixths of No. 3 2d range, owned by Jas. Raugley	1 13
One sixth of do. owned by Jacob A. Townsend	10 96
Township 2	2 19
Part Township 6	4 70
Surplus of C.	1 23
No. 5 1st Range	1 28
do 4 2d do	4 45
North half No. 1 3d range	2 32
South half " 1 4th do	2 02
North half " 1 4th do	2 42
One half " 5 5th do	2 32
One fourth " 5 5th do	1 55
One twelfth of No. 1 6th do	81
Seven twelfths, No. 1	32
North half No. 2 4th Range	5 54
Township " 4 1st do	1 21
	2 14

MARK HARRIS, Treasurer.

To the Hon. County Commissioners, within and for the County of Oxford at their October session, A. D. 1833.

WHEREAS a County road has been located and established at the present term of said Court on the petition of Josiah Black and others, through Andover West Surplus and Holmes, alias Reedville in said County, places unincorporated, and the same road is unopened and unmade. We therefore pray that a tax may be assessed upon said tracts separately, sufficient to open and make said road through each of said tracts respectively.

JOSIAH BLACK, & others, by STEPHEN EMERY, their Attorney.

STATE OF MAINE.

Oxford ss.

At a court of County Commissioners begun and holden at Paris, within and for the County of Oxford, on the last Tuesday of October, A. D. 1833.

On the foregoing Petition, Ordered, That the Petitioners give notice of the same, by publishing a copy of said Petition and of this order thereon, three weeks successively in the Oxford Democrat printed at said Paris, and in the Eastern Argus printed at Portland in the County of Cumberland, the last publication in each of said newspapers, to be at least thirty days before the next term of this Court to be holden at Paris, in and for said County of Oxford on the third Tuesday of June next, that all persons interested may then and there appear and shew cause, if any they have, why the prayer thereof should not be granted.

Attest, R. K. GOODENOW, Clerk.

A true copy of Petition and Order thereon.

Attest, R. K. GOODENOW, Clerk.

BROADCLOTHS & CASSIMERES,

Black, Blue, Brown, Olive, Green and Mixt.

FLANNELS,

White, Yellow, Red and Green, of all QUALITIES.

FLAIDS & CAMELTS,

of every description, cheap.

ROSE BLANKETS,

8-4, 9-4, 10-4, 11-4, 12-4, or 4000 QUALITY.

RUSSIA DIAPERS,

1 bale of 60 pieces, at low prices, by yard or piece.

WANTED,

3000 yards domestic all Wool and Cotton & Wool

Flannels. 600 yds. Full'd Cloths—Also, white, black, red & blue Mixt, Woollen Yarns, for which fair prices will be given, in exchange for Goods.

W. D. LITTLE,

No. 1, Middle's Buildings.

SORE AND INFLAMED EYES!

The studious, the weakly, and others, who are troubled with soreness or inflammation of that delicate organ will be able to obtain a most pleasant and invaluable application, in DUMFRIES' EYE WATER.

This well established wash for the Eye is perfectly innocent, and gives immediate relief, even in very aggravated cases of soreness and inflammation. Price 25 cents.

DYSPEPSIA!

Of most obstinate character, after having baffled the skill of the most eminent physicians, and withstood the most highly recommended medical preparation, has been checked, relieved and cured, in a number of instances in and about the city, by using for a short time Dr. Relfe's Vegetable specific & Antibilious pills, in connexion, according to the directions accompanying the specific. It is also one of the medicines known for Sick headache, Sickness at the Stomach, Nausea and Flatulencies.

No complaint is perhaps more prevalent in this or any country, than headache. It is seldom a primary affection, but arises from a variety of causes such as suppression of customary evacuations, obstructed perspiration &c. It is likewise not unfrequently symptomatic of indigestion, for so great is the sympathy between the brain and stomach, that it is often difficult to determine which is really in fault, & when it arises from a foul state of the stomach, it is generally termed sick headache, which will be speedily relieved by this specific.

A remarkable case is mentioned in Dr. Conway's pamphlet, of "A lady of Danvers, who had been afflicted most of the time for 20 years with a sick headache, was cured by a part of a box of this Specific." price of the Specific and pills 50 cents each.

White Teeth and Healthy Gums!

Those who would retain, or restore, these personable advantages, are assured that no composition can be obtained superior to the BRITISH ANTISEPTIC DENTIFRICE. This is an elegant and pleasant preparation in every respect, and has for many years past given universal satisfaction wherever it has been used.

The Antiseptic Dentifrice is exempt from acid and other deleterious ingredients, which too frequently enter the composition of tooth powders in common use, and it whitens enamel of the teeth without doing it the least injury. The regular use of this admired powder, by purifying the mouth and preventing the accumulation of tartar, operates as the best preventive Tooth Ache. The Dentifrice removes discolorations, and restores the beautiful native whiteness of the enamel. As its application braces and strengthens the Gums, it secures to them their healthy and florid hue and by removing all offensive foreign accumulations from the teeth, preserves the natural sweetness of the breath. Price 50 cents.

None are genuine unless signed on the outside printed wrapper, by the sole proprietor T. KIDDER, immediate successor to the late Dr. W. T. CONWAY. For sale at his Counting Room, over No. 99, Court-St, near Concert Hall, Boston, and also by his special appointment, by Smith and Bennett, Norway Village, who has also for sale all of the justly celebrated medicines prepared by him.

olwvwy Aug. 5.

COLLECTOR'S NOTICE, Paris.

NOTICE is hereby given to the non-resident owners and proprietors of the lands in the town of Paris, County of Oxford and State of Maine, that the same are taxed in the bills committed for collection to the undersigned Collector of said Paris, for the year 1832, & 1833 in their respective sums following, to wit:

Names of Proprietors.	No. of Lots.	Range.	No. of Acres.	Value.	State Tax.	County Tax.	Highway Tax.	Deficiency of Highway Tax 1831.
Brown Jacob, N and S parts	10	3	37	296	237			
Thayer Isaac East part of	1	4	60	207	166			
Stearns James part of	24	5	77	316				284

Unless said taxes and all necessary intervening charges are paid to me the Subscriber on or before Monday the eighteenth day of August next, so much of said land as will discharge the same will then be sold at public auction, at the Store of Alfred Andrews in said Paris, on said day at one of the clock in the afternoon.

BENJAMIN STEVENS, Collector of Paris, for the years 1832 and 1833.

Paris, April 22, 1834.

COLLECTOR'S NOTICE, Jay.

NOTICE is hereby given to the nonresident proprietors and owners of the following lots, or part of lots of land in the town of Jay, County of Oxford, and State of Maine, that the same are taxed in the bills committed to the subscriber to collect for 1833, and also, for deficiency of highway tax for the year 1832, in the respective sums following, to wit:

Names of Proprietors.	No. of Lots.	Range.	No. of Acres.	Value.	State Tax.	County Tax.	Highway Tax.	Deficiency of Highway Tax 1831.
Benjamin David west part of	6	4	50	100	1,00	1,10		
Prey Otis part of	8	2	5	12	12			

Unless said Taxes and all necessary intervening charges are paid to the subscriber, on or before Saturday the 26th day of July next, so much of said land will then be sold at public auction at two o'clock in the afternoon of said day at the Store of Arons Holmes, Esq. in said town as will discharge the same.

JOEL PAINE, Collector of Jay for 1833.

Jay, April 17, 1834.

NOTICE.

THIS is to forbid all persons harbouring or trusting Jane R. W. my wife, on my account, as I shall pay no debts of her contracting after this date.

JOHN ROW.

Paris, April 20, 1834.

GERMAN ELIXIR.

THE discovery of this inestimable ELIXIR was the result of ten years close study in order to discover the causes, symptoms, and cure for all those formidable diseases that prey upon the organs of the chest, namely: Consumption, Asthma, Catarrh, Colds, and every species of oppression at the chest. In all cases where this Elixir has been duly administered, its astonishing efficacy over every other article heretofore offered to the public, for the same purpose, has been invariably manifested, convincing the most incredulous, that consumption is NOT incurable, if properly attended to.

How does the German Elixir operate to cure the above complaints? It determines all morbid irritation and inflammation from the lungs towards the surface, and finally expels them from the system by perspiration or otherwise. It facilitates expectoration, and thus frees the patient from a load of tough phlegm, which cannot otherwise be eliminated from the system. It heals the ulcerated surface, and relieves the cough and breathing. It supports the strength, while at the same time it reduces the fever.

Several certificates of the efficacy of the Elixir might be given, but it would swell this advertisement to an unusual length, suffice it to say, that in every case where it has been used it has fully answered the expectations of the purchaser. The subscribers are the only agents for selling it in this county.

SMITH & BENNETT.

Norway Village, Dec. 27, 1833. eow3m20.

PROSPECTUS OF THE THIRD VOLUME OF THE KNICKERBOCKER,

OR New-York Monthly Magazine.

EDITED BY T. FLINT.

The same improvement which has guided the Publisher of the Knickerbocker in the past volumes, will still be adhered to in their future efforts to gratify the public. The Knickerbocker has already obtained a name and a success unequalled in the history of Periodical Literature, and though our numerous friends may have praised us perhaps too highly, we can only express our gratitude for their partiality, and our sincere determination not only to realize, but even to exceed, as far as possible the expectation of the public in the new volume.

Permanent arrangements have been made with Mr. FLINT, a gentleman whose literary reputation is well known in every part of the United States, particularly to the people of the West, as the Editor of the Western Monthly Review, as well as author of several standard works of American Literature, the publishers of the Knickerbocker now present to their friends and patrons as the Editor of their Magazine, the columns of which will be filled with the results of his pen, as well as regular contributions from other distinguished American Writers.

Faulding, Bryant, S. L. Knapp, Timothy Flint, James Hall, Dunlap, John Neal, T. Fay, Mrs. Sigourney, Miss Gould, The Author of "A Year in Spain," The Author of "The King's Secret," the Author of "Westward Ho!" the author of "Sayings and Doings," the author of "Martin Giber," the author of "The Oriental Tales," the author of "The Comic Annual," the author of "Romance Land," the author of "Om, the Outcast," the author of "Fashionable Satires," the author of "Legends of the West," the author of "The N. Y. Gazetteer," and many other authors and writers are known to have contributed to the past volumes, and for the future we hope to present to our Patrons an article or articles from each of the foregoing, as well as from the pen of

Halleck, Percival, Channing, Cooper, Francis Hebert, Miss Sedgwick, Miss Leslie, Pierpont, Sprague, Willis G. Clark.

And from others whose occasional writings, although popular, have not hitherto been

© 2006 The Authors
Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

100

